

Remarks

Claim 65 and 69 are pending. Claims 65 and 69 are cancelled and the rejections with regard to these claims are now moot. Claim 70 is new. Support for the new claim can be found at, for example, line 4 on page 29, at lines 17 and 18 on page 34, and Table II as well as the claims of the originally filed application.

At the outset, the Applicants note the deletion of any claims and any other amendments to the claims are being made solely to expedite prosecution of the subject matter now claimed and not an acquiescence to the propriety of the rejections. In fact, the Applicants do not agree with the 35 USC §112 rejections. However, the present amendments are being made solely in the interest of expediting the application toward allowance. Thus, the Applicants submit the present amendments without prejudice as to the subsequent prosecution of additional claims directed to the subject matter of the application. The Applicants explicitly reserve the right to pursue the subject matter of any of the applications in one or more continuing applications.

The Applicants also wish to thank the Examiner for the helpful interview of July 10, 2009 in which the rejections under 35 USC §112 and related issues were discussed. This Response is consistent with the helpful guidance provided by the Examiner during the interview. Importantly, as discussed during the interview, new Claim 70 satisfies the requirements of 35 USC §112.

Thus, the Applicants respectfully submit that the entire application is in condition for allowance, which is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



T. Daniel Christenbury
Reg. No. 31,750
Attorney for Applicants

TDC/vbm
(215) 656-3381